

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE
FOR SOLICITATION N40085-06-R-1193

CONTRACT INFORMATION: (Offeror completes this section only)

- A. Offeror: GeoEnvironmental Resources, Inc.
- B. Contract Number: N40085-06-C-6058, CTO 0003
Status: Active _____ Completed: X
- C. Project Title: Repair Deperming Piers Location: Lambert's Point - Norfolk, VA
- D. Original Award Amount: Subcontractor \$22,000 Final Amount: \$22,000
- E. Award Date: May 2006 CCD (Original): _____ CCD (Final): _____
- F. Project Description: Performed hazardous materials (asbestos, paint: lead, cadmium, & chromium, TCLP and PCB) investigation, sampling and testing for expected demolition of structural platforms. Work was performed in accordance with NAVFAC guidelines. Prepared a detailed report of our findings and cost estimates for removal. Bid documents included technical specifications and contract drawing preparation.

RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION (NOT TO BE RELEASED OUTSIDE GOVERNMENT)

- A. Name: Mr. Mike Bennett
- B. Title: Project Manager
- C. Phone Number: 490-9048
- D. Email: MikeB@hbaonline.com
- E. Date questionnaire was completed: April 2, 2007

Questionnaire may be sent by the following methods (email is the preferred method):

Email: lynn.tanno@navy.mil
Fax: 757-322-4611

OFFEROR RATING

1. In this section of the questionnaire you are asked to rate the Offeror. Please indicate the rating that best applies. If you wish to elaborate on any of your answers, please provide comments at the end of this section. If more space is needed, continue your comments on a separate sheet of paper and attach it to this questionnaire prior to submitting it to Lynn M. Tanno at email: lynn.tanno@navy.mil or FAX 757-322-4611.

2. You are urged to supplement your own knowledge of the Contractor's performance with the judgment of others in your organization. Any marginal ratings should be supplemented with an explanatory narrative in the remarks block of this survey.

3. ONCE COMPLETED, THIS SURVEY WILL BE CONSIDERED SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE IN ACCORDANCE WITH FAR PART 3.104.

4. The following definitions are applicable rating levels for the Contractor's performance:

(E) Excellent/High Confidence	Based on the offeror's performance record, essentially no doubt exists that the offeror has/will successfully perform the required effort.
(G) Good/Significant Confidence	Based on the offeror's performance record, little doubt exists that the offeror has/will successfully perform the required effort.
(S) Satisfactory/Confidence	Based on the offeror's performance record, some doubt exists that the offeror has/will successfully perform the required effort.
(N) Neutral/Unknown Confidence	No performance record identifiable.
(M) Marginal/Little Confidence	Based on the offeror's performance record, substantial doubt exists that the offeror has/will successfully perform the required effort. Changes to the Offeror's existing processes may be necessary in order to achieve the contract requirements.
(P) Poor/No Confidence	Based on the offeror's performance record, extreme doubt exists that the offeror has/will successfully perform the required effort.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
N40085-06-R-1193
COMPANY REFERENCES

1. Rate the Offeror's ability to effectively manage and control multiple projects E G S N M P
2. How effective was on-site management? E G S N M P
3. Was the Offeror timely in submission of schedules, reports, and other submittals? E G S N M P
4. Rate the Offeror's overall responsiveness in terms of quality & workmanship E G S N M P
5. How successful was the completion of the project? E G S N M P
6. How would you rate the Offeror's responsiveness towards safety issues? E G S N M P
7. How would you rate the Offeror's ability to effectively deal with the customer and other Government personnel? E G S N M P
8. Was the offeror cooperative in solving problems & negotiating changes? YES NO
9. Did the Offeror stay on schedule & meet the completion date? YES NO
10. Did the Offeror encounter any financial difficulties on your contract, i.e., payment of subcontractors, labor disputes, bonding? YES NO
(if yes, please explain)
11. Rate the Offeror's overall performance for your project E G S N M P

Comments: WE HAVE WORKED WITH THIS COMPANY FOR YEARS AND FOUND THEIR WORK ON ALL PROJECTS TO BE EXCELLENT.